

1
2
3
4

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7
8 SYNOPSYS, INC., No. C-03-2289 MJJ (EMC)
9 Plaintiff, No. C-03-4669 MJJ (EMC)

10 v. **ORDER RE SUBPOENAS AND
11 RICOH CO., LTD., INFORMAL DOCUMENT REQUESTS**
12 Defendant.

13 RICOH CO., LTD.,
14 Plaintiff,
15 v.
16 AEROFLEX, *et al.*,
17 Defendants.

18 /
19 Pursuant to this Court's August 24, 2006 Order (Synopsys Dkt. No. 440), the parties have
20 met and conferred to narrow the scope of the subpoenas and informal requests that were the subject
21 of Ricoh's Motion For Protective Order (Synopsys Dkt. No. 409). The parties were unable to
22 resolve their differences and each side has submitted its proposed limitations to the informal
23 document requests and Rule 45 subpoenas. The Court, having considered the submissions of the
24 parties, and good cause appearing therefor, hereby adopts the following limitations. The Court finds
25 with the refinements below that the limitations set forth by the Defendants as narrowed by its offer
26 to limit documents to those created by or for Dr. Papaefthymiou in addition to the limitations
27 contained in their proposed order establish a reasonable scope of production.
28

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1) The informal document requests are limited by restricting each category to
3 documents that relate to or discuss in any way logic synthesis (including any discussion of the inputs
4 to logic synthesis tools), register transfer level ('RTL'), or computer or circuit architecture and
5 which documents authored were by Dr. Papaefthymiou.

6 2) Defendants shall not seek enforcement of Michigan Request No. 4, MIT Request No.
7 3, and Yale Request No. 3.

8 3) Defendants shall inform the subpoenaed parties that the remaining requests are
9 limited to documents that relate to or discuss in any way logic synthesis (including any discussion of
10 the inputs to logic synthesis tools), register transfer level ('RTL'), or computer or circuit architecture
11 and which documents were authored by Dr. Papaefthymiou.

12 4) Defendants shall not enforce any third party subpoena beyond the scope set forth in
13 point 3 above.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 Dated: September 1, 2006


18 EDWARD M. CHEN
United States Magistrate Judge